



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,523	09/27/2000	Kuriacose Joseph	2050.001US3	2175
44367	7590	12/05/2012		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/OPEN TV P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938				
			EXAMINER	
			GARG, YOGESH C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com
SLW@blackhillsip.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/672,523	JOSEPH ET AL.
	Examiner YOGESH C. GARG	Art Unit 3625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) YOGESH C. GARG. (3) _____.
 (2) ELENA DRESZER. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 November 2012.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner called Ms. Dreszer to inform her that in order to place the application in condition for allowance following is required. Examiner informed that as a special consideration will hold the applications and would not write an objection/rejection if the requirements are fulfilled at the earliest.

In order to place the Reissue application 09/903457 in condition for allowance following is required:

(1) Reissue declaration filed 9/28/12 does not satisfy Rule 37 CFR 1.175(a).

37 CFR 1.175(a), states that the reissue oath/declaration must state that "All errors being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of filing of the oath or declaration under this paragraph arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant" (emphasis added).

The declaration only states that "the error" recited in the declaration arose without any deceptive intention. Although only one error is recited in the declaration, multiple errors have been corrected via reissue, e.g., each time an amendatory change is submitted, an error to the patent is being corrected. Accordingly, all claims need to be rejected under 35 USC 251, based on a defective declaration.

(2) Also, there are multiple reissue applications filed for the reissue of patent 5819034. However, the required cross-referencing statement has not been provided as an amendment to the specification. There is a cross-referencing coded SPEC.NE dated 4/29/2002. However, it was not entered as the amendment filed 4/29/02 was considered non-compliant, as evidenced by the CTMS dated 4/29/2002. (PTO-90C indicates it was mailed 7/23/2002). Accordingly, the specification needs to be amended to include the proper cross-referencing. See MPEP 1451 for suggested language.

.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/YOGESH C GARG/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413B (Rev. 8/11/2010)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20121130